

### Question 3

Do you believe the Summit addressed key non-profit leadership issues?

YES 92% NO 8%

#### COMMENTS cont.

- Excellent progression of complimenting issues.
- I think it made a good attempt and possibly Day Three has been the best (especially video case study).
- Good leadership models.

#### ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT

- Some like why we end up stabbing each other in the back rather than supporting were not addressed.
- Some issues such as the issues of politics and turf battles were not discussed.
- Too short term'ism'. Should have a session on future ie 10-20 years if addressing sustainability – contact the Foresight Institute (Swinburne).
- The undiscussables were still left alone – democracy v competence. Board fundamentals – is it out dated model?
- I would have benefited more from longer sessions on risk. They were excellent.
- It was a bit indulgent – very much from third sector perspective. Not enough challenges to the thinking – eg role of government, government improvement etc.
- Maybe, a greater sector wide/ systemic approach – eg relations with government.
- One issue not raised was many small organisations doing similar things that continue to compete for resources and attention, rather than making the effort to come together to achieve critical mass and economies of scale.
- Should have been one or two sessions of fund raising and interacting with legislators and regulators.
- Not enough focus on a few key practical tools and techniques for leadership.
- Good topics – need to tackle them in more depth.
- Lighter on implementation issue.
- Some presentations on key issues were too short. I felt there were too many presentations, too short, which didn't allow for in depth information.